

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the
INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINING AUTHORITY

To:

HARDING, Richard
MARKS & CLERK
4220 Nash Court
Oxford Business Park South
Oxford OX4 2RU
GRANDE BRETAGNE

PCT

NOTIFICATION OF TRANSMITTAL OF THE INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

(PCT Rule 71.1)

Date of mailing
(day/month/year)

22.11.2004

Applicant's or agent's file reference RPH.P52103WO	IMPORTANT NOTIFICATION	
International application No. PCT/GB 03/03514	International filing date (day/month/year) 12.08.2003	Priority date (day/month/year) 03.09.2002
Applicant UNIVERSITY OF WARWICK et al.		

1. The applicant is hereby notified that this International Preliminary Examining Authority transmits herewith the international preliminary examination report and its annexes, if any, established on the international application.
2. A copy of the report and its annexes, if any, is being transmitted to the International Bureau for communication to all the elected Offices.
3. Where required by any of the elected Offices, the International Bureau will prepare an English translation of the report (but not of any annexes) and will transmit such translation to those Offices.
4. **REMINDER**

The applicant must enter the national phase before each elected Office by performing certain acts (filing translations and paying national fees) within 30 months from the priority date (or later in some Offices) (Article 39(1)) (see also the reminder sent by the International Bureau with Form PCT/B/301).

Where a translation of the international application must be furnished to an elected Office, that translation must contain a translation of any annexes to the international preliminary examination report. It is the applicant's responsibility to prepare and furnish such translation directly to each elected Office concerned.

For further details on the applicable time limits and requirements of the elected Offices, see Volume II of the PCT Applicant's Guide.

The applicant's attention is drawn to Article 33(5), which provides that the criteria of novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability described in Article 33(2) to (4) merely serve the purposes of international preliminary examination and that "any Contracting State may apply additional or different criteria for the purposes of deciding whether, in that State, the claimed inventions is patentable or not" (see also Article 27(5)). Such additional criteria may relate, for example, to exemptions from patentability, requirements for enabling disclosure, clarity and support for the claims.

Name and mailing address of the international preliminary examining authority:  European Patent Office D-80298 Munich Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465	Authorized Officer Andreatta, R Tel. +49 89 2399-2231
--	---



PATENT COOPERATION TREATY
PCT
INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT
(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference RPH.P52103WO	FOR FURTHER ACTION See Notification of Transmittal of International Preliminary Examination Report (Form PCT/IPEA/416)	
International application No. PCT/GB 03/03514	International filing date (day/month/year) 12.08.2003	Priority date (day/month/year) 03.09.2002
International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC H01L21/20		
Applicant UNIVERSITY OF WARWICK et al.		

1. This international preliminary examination report has been prepared by this International Preliminary Examining Authority and is transmitted to the applicant according to Article 36.

2. This REPORT consists of a total of 4 sheets, including this cover sheet.

This report is also accompanied by ANNEXES, i.e. sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis for this report and/or sheets containing rectifications made before this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions under the PCT).

These annexes consist of a total of 3 sheets.

3. This report contains indications relating to the following items:

- I Basis of the opinion
- II Priority
- III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability
- IV Lack of unity of invention
- V Reasoned statement under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement
- VI Certain documents cited
- VII Certain defects in the international application
- VIII Certain observations on the international application

Date of submission of the demand 11.03.2004	Date of completion of this report 22.11.2004
Name and mailing address of the international preliminary examining authority:  European Patent Office D-80298 Munich Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465	Authorized Officer Wolff, G Telephone No. +49 89 2399-2578



INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY
EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No. PCT/GB 03/03514

I. Basis of the report

1. With regard to the **elements** of the international application (*Replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report since they do not contain amendments (Rules 70.16 and 70.17)*):

Description, Pages

1, 4-8	as originally filed
2	received on 18.03.2004 with letter of 10.03.2004
3, 3a	received on 01.10.2004 with letter of 28.09.2004

Claims, Numbers

6-21	as originally filed
1-5	received on 01.10.2004 with letter of 28.09.2004

Drawings, Sheets

1/2-2/2	as originally filed
---------	---------------------

2. With regard to the **language**, all the elements marked above were available or furnished to this Authority in the language in which the international application was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.

These elements were available or furnished to this Authority in the following language: , which is:

- the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of the international search (under Rule 23.1(b)).
- the language of publication of the international application (under Rule 48.3(b)).
- the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international preliminary examination (under Rule 55.2 and/or 55.3).

3. With regard to any **nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence** disclosed in the international application, the international preliminary examination was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing:

- contained in the international application in written form.
- filed together with the international application in computer readable form.
- furnished subsequently to this Authority in written form.
- furnished subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form.
- The statement that the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed has been furnished.
- The statement that the information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence listing has been furnished.

4. The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of:

- the description, pages:
- the claims, Nos.:
- the drawings, sheets:

**INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY
EXAMINATION REPORT**

International application No. PCT/GB 03/03514

5. This report has been established as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed (Rule 70.2(c)).

(Any replacement sheet containing such amendments must be referred to under item 1 and annexed to this report.)

6. Additional observations, if necessary:

V. Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)	Yes: Claims	1-21
	No: Claims	
Inventive step (IS)	Yes: Claims	1-21
	No: Claims	
Industrial applicability (IA)	Yes: Claims	1-21
	No: Claims	

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

VIII. Certain observations on the international application

The following observations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the question whether the claims are fully supported by the description, are made:

see separate sheet

**INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY
EXAMINATION REPORT - SEPARATE SHEET**

International application No. PCT/GB 03/03514

SECTION V:

This IPER is based on the following documents cited in the search report:

- D1: WO-A-01/01465
- D2: US-A1-2002/017642
- D3: US-A-5410167
- D4: US-A-5238869
- D5: US-A-5272105
- D6: EP-A-1052684
- D7: US-A-5108947
- D8: GB-A-2215514

The claimed subject-matter does not appear to be rendered obvious by the cited prior art. In particular, it is not known in the context of silicon germanium virtual substrates to provide isolating strip-like layers, to selectively grow a first silicon germanium layer between the strips, and to grow a second silicon germanium layer overgrowing the isolating strips.

Such lateral overgrowth techniques are well-known in the preparation of III/V substrates (see e.g. D3, D4). However, it appears that threading dislocations in silicon germanium behave differently.

Known techniques for producing such a buffer layer, such as are disclosed in US5442205, US 5221413, WO 98/00857 and JP 6-252046, involve linearly grading the Ge composition in the layer in order that the strained interfaces are distributed over the graded region. This means that the dislocations that form are also distributed over the 5 graded region and are therefore less likely to interact. However such techniques suffer from the fact that the main sources of dislocations are multiplication mechanisms in which many dislocations are generated from the same source, and this causes the dislocations to be clustered in groups, generally on the same atomic glide planes. The strain fields from these groups of dislocations can cause the virtual substrate surface to 10 have large undulations which is both detrimental to the quality of the virtual substrate and has the added effect of trapping threading dislocations.

US 2002/0017642A1 describes a technique in which the buffer layer is formed from a plurality of laminated layers comprising alternating layers of a graded SiGe layer 15 having a Ge composition ratio which gradually increases from the Ge composition ratio of the material on which it is formed to an increased level, and a uniform SiGe layer on top of the graded SiGe layer having a Ge composition ratio at the increased level which is substantially constant across the layer. The provision of such alternating graded and uniform SiGe layers providing stepped variation in the Ge composition ratio across the 20 buffer layer makes it easier for dislocations to propagate in lateral directions at the interfaces, and consequently makes it less likely that threading dislocations will occur, thus tending to provide less surface roughness. However this technique requires the provision of relatively thick, carefully graded alternating layers in order to provide satisfactory performance, and even then can still suffer performance degradation due to 25 the build-up of threading dislocations.

US 5238869 describes a technique in which a defect annihilating grid of SiO₂ is formed on a Si substrate, and a GaAs layer is grown on top of the grid so as to extend both within the openings of the grid and over the dividing walls of the grid. The grid 30 provides a "drain" for the dislocations as they propagate in the layer so that most dislocations will terminate at the grid rather than propagating in a surface region in which semiconductor devices are fabricated. However, this technique produces a non-

Best Available Copy

planar surface which is completely unsuitable for uses involving the incorporation of semiconductor devices over a wide area of the substrate surface.

It is an object of the invention to provide a method of forming a lattice-tuning semiconductor substrate in which performance is enhanced by decreasing the density of threading dislocations as compared with known techniques.

According to the present invention there is provided a method of forming a lattice-tuning semiconductor substrate, comprising:

10

(a) defining parallel strips of a Si surface by spaced parallel isolating means provided along opposite edges of the strips;

15

(b) selectively growing a first SiGe layer on the strips and not on the isolating means between the strips, such that first dislocations extend preferentially across the first SiGe layer between the isolating means to relieve the strain in the first SiGe layer in directions transverse to the isolating means; and

20

(c) growing a second SiGe layer on top of the first SiGe layer to overgrow the isolating means such that second dislocations form preferentially within the second SiGe layer above the isolating means to relieve the strain in the second SiGe layer in directions transverse to the first dislocations.

25

It is believed that such a technique is capable of producing high quality SiGe virtual substrates with extremely low levels of threading dislocations, that is with levels from less than 10^6 dislocations per cm^2 to virtually no threading dislocations. This is as a result of the fact that dislocations are produced which serve to relax the SiGe material in two mutually transverse directions whilst being spatially separated so that the two sets of dislocations cannot interact with one another in such a manner as to produce threading dislocations extending through the depth of the SiGe material.

30

Best Available Copy

3a

As a result a thinner virtual substrate can be produced for a given Ge composition with both the threading dislocation density and the surface undulations being very greatly reduced. This results in a virtual substrate which is superior and allows power to be more readily dissipated. Furthermore the fact that growth overlying the isolating means occurs only after selective growth on the intermediate strips results in a decrease in the roughness of the surface of the virtual substrate, and this decrease in roughness of the surface of the virtual substrate renders further processing more straightforward in that polishing of the surface can be minimised or dispensed with altogether, and loss of definition due to unevenness of the surface is minimised. The quality of the virtual substrate produced may be such as to render it suitable for specialised applications, for example in microelectronics or in full CMOS integration systems.

Best Available Copy

CLAIMS:

1. A method of forming a lattice-tuning semiconductor substrate, comprising:
 - (a) defining parallel strips (12) of a Si surface by spaced parallel isolating means (2; 11) provided along opposite edges of the strips;
 - (b) selectively growing a first SiGe layer (13) on the strips (12) and not on the isolating means (2; 11) between the strips, such that first dislocations (14) extend preferentially across the first SiGe layer (13) between the isolating means (2; 11) to relieve the strain in the first SiGe layer (13) in directions transverse to the isolating means (2; 11); and
 - (c) growing a second SiGe layer (13a) on top of the first SiGe layer (13) to overgrow the isolating means (2; 11) such that second dislocations (15) form preferentially within the second SiGe layer (13a) above the isolating means (2; 11) to relieve the strain in the second SiGe layer (13a) in directions transverse to the first dislocations (14).
2. A method according to claim 1, wherein the first SiGe layer (13) has a Ge composition ratio that is substantially constant within the layer (13).
3. A method according to claim 1 or 2, wherein the second SiGe layer (13a) has a Ge composition ratio that is substantially constant within the layer (13a).
4. A method according to claim 1, 2 or 3, wherein at least one of the SiGe layers (13; 13a) has a Ge composition ratio that increases within the layer from a first level to a second level greater than the first level.
5. A method according to any preceding claim, wherein at least the first SiGe layer (13) is annealed at an elevated temperature in order to substantially fully relieve the strain in the layer (13).

Best Available Copy